

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/804,108	. 03/19/2004	Minoru Yagi	K-2162	7107	
7590 09/28/2006			EXAMINER		
HAUPTMAN KANESAKA BERNER PATENT AGENTS, LLP			LAWRENCE JR, FRANK M		
Suite 310 1700 Diagonal I	Road	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Alexandria, VA 22314			1724		

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No. 10/804,108 Examiner		Applicant(s) YAGI, MINORU Art Unit					
						Frank M.		1724	
						Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication aportion or Reply	ppears on th	e cover sheet with
		WHIII - Extending - If No - Failit - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature property received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TI .136(a). In no ev d will apply and w rte, cause the apr	HIS COMMUNICA ent, however, may a rep ill expire SIX (6) MONTH dication to become ABA	ATION. Ity be timely filed Its from the mailing date of this or NDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	•		
Status									
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)				s, prosecution as to the	e merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>3-14,17-33 and 36-40</u> is/are pending in the application.								
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)🖂	Claim(s) 23-33 is/are allowed.								
6)🖂	•								
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>4-12,19,20,38 and 39</u> is/are objected to.								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)	•							
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Intendeur Sur	mman/ (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date (3).		5) Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application					
			57 La Guici						

Application/Control Number: 10/804,108

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 17, 18, 21, 22, 36, 37 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mao et al. (2003/0089117 A1).
- 3. Mao et al. '117 teach a process of forming a hydrogen clathrate with a water host compound under pressure (see claims 22, 30). The disclosure is enabled in provisional application No. 60/330,749.
- 4. Claims 17, 18, 21, 22, 36, 37 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Novel H2-H20 Clathrates at High Pressures* (Vos et al.).
- 5. Vos et al. teach a process of forming a hydrogen clathrate with a water host compound under pressure (see abstract).
- 6. Claims 3, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shepodd et al. (5,703,378).
- 7. Shepodd et al. '378 teach phelyl organic compounds for uptaking hydrogen at atmospheric pressures (see col. 6, lines 34-55, example 3).

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/804,108 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 23-33 are allowed.

9. Claims 4-12, 19, 20, 38 and 39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicant's arguments filed August 24, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has amended several of the claims by including allowable limitations with limitations of parent claims, but failed to delete alternative limitations still disclosed in the prior art as described above. For example, previous claim 17 was indicated as having allowable subject matter because the prior art failed to disclose a hydrogen clathrate including a monomolecular host compound selected from the recited group. Applicant rewrote claim 17 in independent form, but included the previous limitations that the host compound can also be monomolecular, multimolecular, high molecular, and inorganic host compounds, which are anticipated by the prior art.
- 11. The new rejections over Vos et al. and Shepodd et al. are presented because the references were presented to the examiner following the issuance of the first office action.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1724

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank M. Lawrence whose telephone number is 571-272-1161. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1724

Frank M. Lawrence Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

fl

Frank Fawrence 9-26-06